

TITLE

Method and test structures for measuring interconnect coupling capacitance in an IC chip

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5 **Field of Invention**

The present invention relates to the measurement of capacitances, and more specifically to measurement of the coupling capacitance between interconnect lines of an integrated circuit (IC) structure.

10 **Description of Related Art**

As transistor scaling continues, gate delay is no longer the major limit of circuit speed and instead interconnect delay dominates the circuit performance. In addition, as interconnection becomes multi-layers, more complex and more close, the crosstalk coupling effect between wires becomes a source of noise in 15 deep sub-micron analog and digital circuits, which can result in chip functional failures. Therefore, to extract accurate interconnect parameters for circuit simulation or for circuit failure analysis is needed and important.

In order to evaluate the coupling effect between interconnect lines, it's necessary to ascertain the coupling capacitances between lines. The coupling 20 capacitance includes both intra-layer capacitance, which occurs in a same layer, and inter-layer capacitance, which occurs in different layers. Some reasons to show the necessity for measurement accuracy of lateral intra-layer coupling capacitance parameters are as follows. First, increasing metal aspect ratio (thickness/width) can improve RC delay, but the performance benefit will 25 eventually saturate when the lateral intra-layer coupling capacitance becomes

the dominant contributor to the wire total capacitance, as reported by M. Bohr, "Interconnect scaling-the real limiter to high performance ULSI," IEEE Tech. Digest IEDM, 1995, pp. 241-244. Second, in order not to increase interconnect resistance significantly, metal thickness is not scaled with the
5 metal pitch and usually is kept to be the same. As a result, the lateral intra-layer coupling capacitance will be the dominant portion of total wire capacitance in advanced processes.

There are two types of test structures that are normally used to measure interconnect coupling capacitances, the off-chip or passive test structures and
10 the on-chip or active test structures. The passive test structures require very large geometries to increase capacitance values and the capacitance is measured directly using an impedance meter. Unlike the off-chip direct method, the on-chip method uses active devices to apply currents to charge or discharge capacitances of the interconnect lines. In this method, capacitance
15 is a derived quantity, obtained by measuring the capacitive currents, and hence this method is an indirect method. One indirect method, called as Charge Based Capacitance Measurement (CBCM), was proposed by Bernard Laquai et al., "A new method and test structure for easy determination of femto-farad on-chip capacitance in a MOS process," Proc. IEEE, vol. 5, 1992, pp.62-66.
20 The approach uses the average current supplied to the inverter and the given clock frequency to derive the loading capacitance, which can make a measurement with femto-farad resolution. J. Chen et al. disclosed an improved test method with 0.01fF resolution in "An on-chip attofarad interconnect charge-based capacitance measurement (CBCM) technique," Proc.
25 of IEDM, 1996, pp.69-72 and "An on-chip, interconnect capacitance

characterization method with sub-femto-farad resolution," IEEE Transactions on Semiconductor Manufacturing, Vol. 11, 1998.

In the CBCM technique, a pair of inverters with two individual test structures 112, a target test structure and a dummy/reference test structure, are used to deduce the wanted capacitance as shown in Fig. 1. The substrate in an IC chip on which the integrated circuits and test structures are formed is normally treated as a ground plane (grounded). The two test structures 112 are coupled to the output terminals V_{out1} and V_{out2} of the two inverters respectively. The left-hand side inverter in Fig. 1 is comprised of a pair of transistors, a first P type Metal-Oxide-Semiconductor (PMOS) transistor 102 and a first N type Metal-Oxide-Semiconductor (NMOS) transistor 104. The right-hand side inverter in Fig. 1 is comprised of a pair of transistors, a second PMOS transistor 114 and a second NMOS transistor 116. Clock voltage signals V_1 and V_2 are two non-overlap signals, whose waveforms are shown in Fig. 2, to avoid a direct current path (short path) from power supply terminal 106 (with a voltage V_{dd}) to ground 110 at transients during signal switching. Two DC current meters 108 denoted by A1 and A2 are used to monitor the currents flowing through the inverters in Fig. 1, respectively. Here, only average currents need to be measured.

According to J. Chen's method, a target test structure for intra-layer capacitance extraction is illustrated in Fig. 3. It comprises a comb line 300 surrounded by a meander line 302. The meander line is connected to ground 304 and the comb line 300 is connected to the output terminal V_{out1} of the

left-hand side inverter in Fig. 1. The comb line 300 is laterally separated by a specific distance of s from the meander line 302. The total coupling capacitance includes the area, fringe, and line-to-line coupling components. To eliminate undesired components, a dummy test structure is designed as shown in Fig. 4. A comb line 400 is connected to the output terminal V_{out2} of the right-hand side inverter in Fig. 1, and a short meander line 402 is connected to ground 404. The line-to-line coupling component for part of the comb line 300 of Fig. 3 with length L is to be extracted. The dummy test structure is intended to emulate the capacitance of the comb line 300 with respect to ground of Fig. 3 except the line-to-line coupling component between the comb line 300 and each of its neighboring parts of the meander line 302.

Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 are the cross-sectional views of Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, respectively. The connection of the meander line 302 to ground 304 is also shown in Fig. 5. Fig. 6 illustrates the cross section of only part of the comb line 400 without laterally neighboring parts of the meander line 402. The substrate of the IC chip is connected to ground 500. The average current I flowing through an inverter can be described as Eq. (1) where V_{dd} is the power supply voltage, f is the frequency of the clock signals V_1 and V_2 , and the total loading capacitance of the output terminal of the inverter is C_{tot} .

$$I = C_{tot} * V_{dd} * f \quad (1)$$

According to Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, their total capacitances C_{tot1} , C_{tot2} are composed as follows, respectively:

$$C_{tot1} = 2C_c + 2C_{f1} + C_{a1} + C_{stray1} \quad (2) \text{ and}$$

$$C_{tot2} = 2C_{f2} + C_{a2} + C_{stray2} \quad (3)$$

In Eq. (2) for the target test structure, C_c denotes the target line-to-line coupling capacitance, C_{f1} denotes the fringe capacitance between the lateral edge of the comb line 300 and the ground plane (substrate), C_{a1} is the area capacitance between the bottom of the comb line 300 and the ground plane, and C_{stray1} is the stray capacitance of the left-hand side inverter itself. C_{f2} , C_{a2} , and C_{stray2} are the counterpart components for the dummy test structure.

If mismatching effect of the two inverters in Fig. 1 and interconnection is ignored, C_{stray1} is equal to C_{stray2} and C_{a1} is equal to C_{a2} . The difference between the charging current I_1 of the left-hand side inverter and the charging current I_2 of the right-hand side inverter is given as

$$I_1 - I_2 = (C_{tot1} - C_{tot2}) * V_{dd} * f = [2C_c + 2(C_{f1} - C_{f2})] * V_{dd} * f \quad (4)$$

Generally, the fringe component is not negligible compared to the line-to-line coupling component. Moreover, due to different charge distributions between both of the comb lines 300, 400 with and without neighboring ground wires on the same layer and the substrate, C_{f1} is smaller than C_{f2} and the total coupling capacitance to substrate of a comb line without neighboring ground wires is much larger than that of the same comb line with neighboring ground wires.

Because of the big difference between C_{f1} and C_{f2} introduced into Eq. (4), the

- extracted intra-layer coupling capacitance when the comb line 300 and the meander line 302 are in the same layer is inaccurate and underestimated. From a 2-D simulation on a 0.6um technology, the metal-1 coupling capacitance to substrate of a comb line without neighboring wires is 2.6 times that with
5 neighboring wires ($C_{a1}+2C_{f1}=0.0395$ fF/um, $C_{a2}+2C_{f2}=0.1029$ fF/um, width/space=0.6 um/0.6 um, dielectric thickness=7000 Angstrom, C_C is just only 0.092 fF/um). For the above reason, these two test structures are only suitable for inter-layer coupling capacitance extraction when the meander line is not in the same layer as the comb line, where the charge distributions are
10 approximately the same.

Besides, the error induced by the mismatch between the two inverters is another important issue of CBCM method. If mismatch can't be ignored, the difference of the two total capacitances C_{tot1} , C_{tot2} caused by the line-to-line coupling component will be larger, so the error will be larger. Arora et al
15 proposed a new structure in United States Patent 5999010, Dec. 7, 1999, which also adopted CBCM technique. However, this method requires three measurement steps and needs more pads to implement the test structure, thus it's not suitable for an on-wafer measurement.

For the forgoing reasons, there is a need for a method and measuring
20 device for measuring the line-to-line coupling capacitance between interconnect lines in an IC chip.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The purpose of the invention is to provide new test structures and a new measurement method employing the test structures for accurately measuring intra-layer coupling capacitance between interconnect lines of an integrated circuit structure formed on an IC chip.

In an embodiment of the invention two sets of test structures are employed. The first set is used to measure a target configuration. The target configuration primarily contains two branch circuits, which are the two inverters described above. The first set includes a first test structure and a second test structure. In order to obtain the total capacitance C of a central wire with length L , the first set attempts to extract the total wire capacitance with respect to ground including line-to-line, area, and fringe capacitances ($C=2C_C+2C_f+C_a$).

The second set is used to measure a dummy configuration. The dummy configuration primarily contains two branch circuits, which are also the two inverters described above. The second set includes a third test structure and a fourth test structure. The second set attempts to extract the area and fringe capacitance C_{dummy} of a wire with length L with respect to grounded substrate when it has two parallel wires placed on both sides respectively ($C_{dummy}=2C_f+C_a$). The three-wire structure is placed on the third test structure and a two-wire structure on the fourth test structure. Two cross-reference subordinate structures are placed on the third test structure and the fourth test structure respectively to cancel unnecessary capacitance components. By using CBCM technique, the desired capacitances C , C_{dummy}

can be obtained. Finally the line-to-line coupling capacitance C_c can be determined according to the formula $C_c = (C - C_{\text{dummy}})/2$. In each of the two steps, the mismatch between the two inverters is incorporated in the capacitances obtained, and therefore subtracting C_{dummy} from C approximately cancels the mismatch components. As a result of this, C_c obtained is accurate.

In conclusion, the new structures and method of the invention can improve the disadvantages of previous methods and test structures in the art such as those mentioned in the background section and satisfy the requirements of reduced mismatch effect, small test structure size, simple measurement procedure, and high accuracy in intra-layer coupling capacitance extraction.

It is to be understood that both the foregoing general description and the following detailed description are exemplary, and are intended to provide further explanation of the invention as claimed.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The foregoing and other features, aspects, and advantages of the present invention will become better understood with regard to the following description, appended claims, and accompanying drawings where:

Fig. 1 illustrates the schematic and test configuration of CBCM technique.

Fig. 2 illustrates the waveforms of two non-overlap voltage signals V_1 and V_2 with a pulse height of V_{dd} and a clock frequency of f .

Fig. 3 is a top view of the target test structure in J. Chen's method.

Fig. 4 is a top view of the dummy test structure in J. Chen's method.

Fig. 5 is a cross-sectional view of Fig. 3.

Fig. 6 illustrates the cross section of only part of the comb line 400

5 without laterally neighboring parts of the meander line 402.

Fig. 7 is a top view of the first test structure of the invention according to
an embodiment.

Fig. 8 is a top view of the second test structure of the invention according
to an embodiment.

10 Fig. 9 is a top view of the third test structure of the invention according to
an embodiment.

Fig. 10 is a top view of the fourth test structure of the invention according
to an embodiment.

15

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

This invention proposes a new two-step measurement method and test structures for measuring the coupling capacitance between interconnect lines of an integrated circuit structure formed on an IC chip. It also adopts CBCM technique but improves the dummy test structure of J. Chen's method, and two 20 additional test structures are used. The embodiments of the new test structures and procedures of the method are described in detail hereinafter.

The two-step measurement method is to measure a target configuration and a dummy configuration separately. The target configuration includes basically a first branch circuit and a second branch circuit, such as the two 25 inverters in Fig. 1. The first branch circuit is the left-hand side inverter in Fig. 1

composed of the first PMOS transistor 102 and the first NMOS transistor 104. The first PMOS transistor 102 and the first NMOS transistor 104 are connected in series at the output terminal V_{out1} . An output terminal of the first PMOS transistor 102(source terminal) is connected to the power supply terminal 106 (with a voltage V_{dd}) and an output terminal of the first NMOS transistor 104(source terminal) is connected to ground 110. The second branch circuit is the right-hand side inverter in Fig. 1 composed of the second PMOS transistor 114 and the second NMOS transistor 116. The second PMOS transistor 114 and the second NMOS transistor 116 are connected in series at the output terminal V_{out2} . An output terminal of the second PMOS transistor 114(source terminal) is connected to the power supply terminal 106 and an output terminal of the second NMOS transistor 116(source terminal) is connected to ground 110.

Two DC current meters 108 denoted by A1 and A2 are used to monitor the currents flowing through the first branch circuit and the second branch circuit, respectively. Here, only average currents need to be measured. The first NMOS transistor 104 and the second NMOS transistor 116 are the same and their control terminals are connected and driven by a first clock voltage signal V_1 . The first PMOS transistor 102 and the second PMOS transistor 114 are the same and their control terminals are connected and driven by a second clock voltage signal V_2 . Accordingly, the first branch circuit and the second branch circuit are symmetric. The first clock voltage signal V_1 and the second

clock voltage signal V_2 are two non-overlap signals, whose waveforms are shown in Fig. 2. They don't simultaneously turn on the first PMOS transistor 102 and the first NMOS transistor 104. They also don't simultaneously turn on the second PMOS transistor 114 and the second NMOS transistor 116.

5 The dummy configuration includes basically a third branch circuit and a fourth branch circuit, such as the two inverters in Fig. 1. The third branch circuit is the left-hand side inverter in Fig. 1. The fourth branch circuit is the right-hand side inverter in Fig. 1. The structure of and driving method for the third branch circuit are completely the same as those pertaining to the first
10 branch circuit. Moreover, the structure of and driving method for the fourth branch circuit are completely the same as those pertaining to the second branch circuit. Therefore, the detailed constructions of the third branch circuit and the fourth branch circuit are not described herein again.

The test structures of the invention can be used to measure a first
15 coupling capacitance C and a second coupling capacitance C_{dummy} of a line A of an integrated circuit structure of length L . The substrate of the integrated circuit structure is grounded. The two-step measurement method of the invention is used to obtain a line-to-line coupling capacitance between the line A and another line B.

20 The first measurement step of the two-step method is to employ two test structures shown in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 respectively. The test structure of Fig. 7 is a first test structure, which is a main test structure. In the first test structure, a comb line 700 is connected to the output terminal $V_{\text{out}1}$ of the first branch circuit in Fig. 1. Two metal portions of a meander line 702 with the same

length are placed at the two sides of the comb line 700, which are parallel to and equally away from the comb line 700. The two metal portions are connected to ground 704 through another metal portion 702a of the meander line 702. As shown in Fig. 7, the distance between the comb line 700 and each of the neighboring portions is S and the parallel overlap length between them is $x+L$. The comb line 700 is the same as the line A, but the length of the comb line 700 is longer than that of the line A. Also, the meander line 702 is the same as the line B.

The test structure of Fig. 8 is a second test structure, which is a reference test structure. In the second test structure, another metal line 800 is connected to the output terminal V_{out2} of the second branch circuit in Fig. 1. Two metal portions 802b and 802c of another meander line 802 with the same length are placed at the two sides of the metal line 800, which are also parallel to and equally away from the metal line 800. The two metal portions 802b and 802c are connected to ground 804 through another metal portion 802a of the meander line 802. As shown in Fig. 8, the distance between the metal line 800 and each of the neighboring portions is S and the parallel overlap length between them is x . The metal line 800 is the same as the line A, and the meander line 802 is the same as the line B.

The first and second test structures are designed to measure the first coupling capacitance C of a part 700a of the comb line 700 with length L (equivalent to the line A of length L) with respect to ground. The first coupling capacitance C includes the line-to-line capacitance C_C between the part 700a

and each of two neighboring metal parts 702b and 702c of length L pertaining to the meander line 702, the fringe capacitance C_f between each lateral edge of the part 700a and the substrate of the circuit, and the area capacitance C_a between the bottom area of the part 700a and the substrate. Compared to the 5 first test structure in Fig. 7, the second test structure in Fig. 8 doesn't have a metal part of length L corresponding to the part 700a with two neighboring parts. The length of the comb line 700 minus the length of the metal line 800 equals L, and the length of the two metal portions placed at the two sides of the comb line 700 minus the length of the two metal portions 802b and 802c equals L.

10 Therefore, the total loading capacitance C_{tot1} of the output terminal V_{out1} of the first branch circuit with respect to ground and derived from the first test structure minus the total loading capacitance C_{tot2} of the output terminal V_{out2} of the second branch circuit with respect to ground and derived from the second test structure is the first coupling capacitance C. C is equal to $2C_c + 2C_f + C_a$.

15 The procedures for obtaining the first coupling capacitance C are as follows. An average current I_1 flowing through the first branch circuit during a period of time is measured, and an average current I_2 flowing through the second branch circuit during the same period of time is also measured. According to the CBCM principle,

$$20 \quad I_1 = C_{tot1} * V_{dd} * f$$

$$I_2 = C_{tot2} * V_{dd} * f$$

and then the first coupling capacitance C is calculated from the equation

$$C = C_{tot1} - C_{tot2} = (I_1 - I_2)/(V_{dd} * f)$$

, wherein V_{dd} is the voltage of the supply terminal 106 and f is the clock frequency of the first voltage signal V_1 and the second voltage signal V_2 .

5 The second measurement step of the two-step method is to employ two other test structures, which are a third test structure and a fourth test structure shown in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10 respectively. In the third test structure of Fig. 9, there is a central metal line 900 of length $y+L$. Two metal lines 902 and 904 with the same length $y+L$ are placed at the two sides of the central metal line 900, which are parallel to and equally away from the central metal line 900. As shown in Fig. 9, the distance between the central metal line 900 and each of the neighboring lines is s and the parallel overlap length between them is $y+L$.
10 These three metal lines form a first subordinate structure in the third test structure and emulate the area and fringe capacitance of the part 700a in Fig. 7
 with respect to ground. The third test structure also contains a second subordinate structure, which is described hereinafter. The central metal line 900 and the two metal lines 902 and 904 are all the same as the line A.
15

 In the fourth test structure of Fig. 10, there are a third subordinate structure and a fourth subordinate structure. The third subordinate structure is described hereinafter. The fourth subordinate structure consists of two parallel metal lines 1000 and 1002 of length $y+L$ spaced a distance of s apart and the parallel overlap length between them is $y+L$. The fourth subordinate structure
20

emulates the total capacitance of the two metal lines 902 and 904. The two metal lines 1000 and 1002 are also the same as the line A.

The third and fourth test structures shown in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10 are designed to measure the second coupling capacitance C_{dummy} of a part 900a

5 of the central line 900 with an exact length L (equivalent to the line A of length L) with respect to the substrate of the circuit connected to ground. Note that the part 900a with length L emulates the area and fringe capacitance of the part 700a with length L with respect to ground. The second coupling capacitance C_{dummy} includes the fringe capacitance C_f between each lateral edge of the

10 part 900a with length L and the substrate of the circuit, and the area capacitance C_a between the bottom area of the part 900a and the substrate.

C_{dummy} is equal to $2C_f + C_a$. In order to achieve this goal, a cross-reference

second subordinate structure consisting of two parallel metal lines 906 and 908 of length y is placed in the third test structure to cancel the effect of two metal

15 parts of length y in the metal lines 1000 and 1002, respectively. The two metal lines 906 and 908 are spaced a distance s apart and the parallel overlap

length between them is y . The two metal lines 906 and 908 are the same as

the line A. In addition, a cross-reference third subordinate structure consisting of three parallel metal lines 1004, 1006 and 1008 of length y is placed in the

20 fourth test structure to cancel the effect of three metal parts of length y in the metal lines 900, 902 and 904, respectively. The three metal lines 1004, 1006 and 1008 are spaced a distance s apart and the parallel overlap length

between them is y . Moreover, the three metal lines 1004, 1006 and 1008 are all the same as the line A.

When performing the second measurement step, the five metal lines 900, 902, 904, 906, and 908 are shorted together and connected to the output terminal V_{out1} of the third branch circuit in Fig. 1. They are shorted together so there is no line-to-line coupling capacitance between the five metal lines. The five metal lines 1000, 1002, 1004, 1006, and 1008 are also shorted together and connected to the output terminal V_{out2} of the fourth branch circuit.

Also there is no line-to-line coupling capacitance between the five metal lines 1000, 1002, 1004, 1006, and 1008. Employing the CBCM principle to cancel the equivalent capacitive components between the third and fourth test structures, the difference between the third and fourth test structures is a metal part of length L in the metal line 900. In other words, the total loading capacitance C_{tot3} of the output terminal V_{out1} of the third branch circuit with respect to ground and derived from the third test structure minus the total loading capacitance C_{tot4} of the output terminal V_{out2} of the fourth branch circuit with respect to ground and derived from the fourth test structure is the second coupling capacitance C_{dummy} .

The procedures for obtaining the second coupling capacitance C_{dummy} are as follows. An average current I_3 flowing through the third branch circuit during a period of time is measured, and an average current I_4 flowing through

the fourth branch circuit during the same period of time is also measured. These are done by using the two DC meters 108. According to the CBCM principle,

$$I_3 = C_{tot3} * V_{dd} * f$$

$$I_4 = C_{tot4} * V_{dd} * f$$

and then the second coupling capacitance C_{dummy} is calculated from the equation

$$C_{dummy} = C_{tot3} - C_{tot4} = (I_3 - I_4) / (V_{dd} * f)$$

, wherein V_{dd} is the voltage of the supply terminal 106 and f is the clock

frequency of the first voltage signal V_1 and the second voltage signal V_2 .

After the completion of the two steps described above, since $C = 2C_c + 2C_f + C_a$ and $C_{dummy} = 2C_f + C_a$, the line-to-line coupling capacitance C_c between the part 700a (equivalent to the line A) and the part 702b or 702c (equivalent to the line B) can then be determined easily according to the formula

$C_c = (C - C_{dummy})/2$. In other words, capacitance C_c is the line-to-line coupling capacitance between the line A and the line B. Note that in each of the two steps, the mismatch between two branch circuits used in the same step is incorporated in a respective one of the capacitances C and C_{dummy} obtained,

and therefore subtracting C_{dummy} from C approximately cancels the mismatch

components. As a result of this, the line-to-line coupling capacitance C_c

obtained is very accurate. In addition, capacitance Cc to be measured may be smaller than 10^{-15} F (1 femto-farad).

All metal lines in all four test structures described above may belong to the same layer, and the line A and the line B also belong to this layer. As a
5 result, the capacitance Cc is an intra-layer line-to-line coupling capacitance between the line A and the line B.

In conclusion, the new structures and method of the invention can improve the disadvantages of previous methods and test structures in the art such as those mentioned in the background section of this specification and
10 satisfy the requirements of reduced mismatch effect, small test pattern size, simple measurement procedure, and high accuracy in intra-layer coupling capacitance extraction at the same time.

It will be apparent to those skilled in the art that various modifications and variations can be made regarding the structures of the present invention without
15 departing from the scope or spirit of the invention. In view of the foregoing, it is intended that the present invention covers modifications and variations of the structures and their equivalents provided they fall within the scope of the appended claims.